

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,730	10/657,730 09/08/2003		Charles E. Price	046478.257670	8909	
826	7590	01/03/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ALSTON &			MATTHEWS, TER	MATTHEWS, TERRELL HOWARD		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHARLOTT			3654			

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/657,730	PRICE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Terrell H. Matthews	3654				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/22	2/05.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)	4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Species II (Fig. 2) in the reply filed on 11/22/2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). More specifically, claims 17-25,41-56, 68-72, 83-93 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More specifically, claim 5 is vague and indefinite because it is not clear as to what "mixing step" is referred since there is more than one mixing step. In the interim for purposes of compact prosecution the mixing step referred to has been interpreted as the mixing step that takes place after the centrifuge and prior to the belt filter press. Claim 11 is rejected as being vague and indefinite because it is unclear as to what qualifies a "fuel product" and an "adsorbent carbon".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 8, 57-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cristovici (5427607) in view of Coleman (4972956).

Referring to claim 1-2,57-58. Cristovici discloses a method comprising he steps of mixing slag with water to form a slurry, screening the slurry through a first screen to remove a first portion of material, screening the slurry subsequent to the first screening step through a second screen to remove a second portion of material (See Fig. 1). Cristovici does not disclose that the carbon content is less than about 5% or that the carbon content is less than about 5% or that the carbon content is less that 1%. Coleman discloses a method and process of screening carbonaceous particles. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in view of Coleman to modify the screens that would screen the coal slurry so that the carbon content was less than 5% or 1% so that the could separate the coarse and fine particles.

Referring to claims 3-4,59-60. Cristovici does not disclose that the first portion of material has a particle size exceeding .5 inches or between .5 inches and 840μm. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Cristovici's

method by changing the screens so that the particles screened exceeded or were between .5 inches and 840μm.

Referring to claim 8. Cristovici discloses vibrating at least one of the first and second screens concurrently with first and second screening stops (See Col. 4l. 23-26).

Claims 5-6, 9-12,14, 26-31, 33-36, 38,61,63-66,73-77,79-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cristovici in view Coleman in further view of Carignani (3851827).

Referring to claim 5-6, 30-31,61 Carignani discloses a "Method and Device for Transforming Slurries" as claimed. See Figs. 1-5 and respective portions of the specification. Carignani further discloses a slurry (5) flowing to a tank (7) then going to a centrifuge (109) where it is dewatered and then subsequently to a mixer (120) and then sent to a granulating device (110). (See Col. 6 I. 45-53). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Cristovici to include the teachings of Carignani and dewater the slurry prior to mixing so that the mixing step involved mixing the concentrated particles, which would make it easier to process during the subsequent operations. It should be noted that Cristovici discloses that the products can be dewatered prior to going through a second mixing step (See Col. 4 I. 20-22).

Referring to claim 9-10,14,26-29,34, 64-65,73-76,80 Cristovici does not disclose screening a slurry subsequent to the second screening step through a centrifuge to remove a third portion of material. Cristovici does disclose screening the slurry to a hydrocyclone (23) to remove a third portion of material. Carignani discloses using a centrifuge (9) to screen a part of the slurry. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Cristovici in view of Carignani teachings to add a centrifuge to screen and remove a third useful portion of material between approximately 840μm and 45μm. This would have been done so that fine particles could be removed as a third portion and separated from the coarse particles. Additionally, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the screens in the process could be sized accordingly to screen for multiple sized particles and materials with a specific percentage content.

Referring to claim 11,35,79. Cristovici discloses the process for the recovery of slags and other residues as disclosed above. Cristovici further discloses screening materials through multiple screens for separating larger particles from the finely ground materials and retaining a third portion a vibratory screen (20). Cristovici does not disclose using the third portion of material removed as at least one of a fuel product and an adsorbent carbon. Coleman discloses a method of removing carbonaceous particles from an aqueous coal slurry (See Col. 2 I. 54-57). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the process of Cristovici so that a fuel product or adsorbent carbon was removed as the third portion

from the coal slurry in view of Coleman. This would have been done so that you could separate the useful fine-screened fuel product portion from the other coarser particles.

Referring to claim 12,36,66,81. Cristovici does not disclose thickening the slurry subsequent to the third screening step using an anionic flocculant selected from a group consisting of polyacrylamide and acrylamide copolymers to thereby remove a forth portion of material from the slurry. Carignani discloses adding a flocculant product (108) to the slurry (See Col. 6 I. 17-18). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the process of Cristovici and include the teachings of Carignani by adding a thickener such as a flocculant product as suggested by Carignani to thicken the slurry to make it easier for further subsequent screening and removing of particles.

Referring to claim 14,38. Cristovici does not disclose that the fourth portion of material removed has a particle size of less than approximately 45μm. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the process of Cristovici to modify the screens and centrifuge in the process so that the fourth portion of material had particles that were sized less than approximately 45μm.

Referring to claim 33,63. Cristovici discloses vibrating at least one of the first and second screens concurrently with first and second screening stops (See Col. 4l. 23-26).

Referring to claim 61,77. Carignani discloses a "Method and Device for Transforming Slurries" as claimed. See Figs. 1-5 and respective portions of the specification. Carignani further discloses a slurry (5) flowing to a tank (7) then going to a centrifuge (109) where it is dewatered and then subsequently to a mixer (120) and then

sent to a granulating device (110). (See Col. 6 I. 45-53). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method of Cristovici to include the teachings of Carignani and dewater the slurry prior to mixing so that the mixing step involved mixing the concentrated particles, which would make it easier to process during the subsequent operations. It should be noted that Cristovici discloses that the products can be dewatered prior to going through a second mixing step (See Col. 4 I. 20-22) as well as that the mixer (3) provides an adequate level of agitation (See Col. 4 I. 12-15).

Claims 7,32,62,78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cristovici in view of Coleman in further view of Caldwell (5992641).

Referring to claims 7,32,62,78. Caldwell discloses spraying a fluid onto at least on of the first and second screens through nozzles (28) of spray bar (26) (See Col. 6 I. 37-47). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of Cristovici to include a spray bar and nozzles to spray fluid on the screen to help prevent build up and blinding.

Claims 1,13,37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cristovici in view of Coleman in view of Carignani (3851827) in further view of Kreisler (6274045).

Referring to claims 1,13,37. Cristovici does not disclose using a pH modifier selected from a group consisting of sodium hydroxide or ammonium hydroxide to clarify the water. Kreisler discloses a using a pH modifier such as sodium hydroxide to alter the solubility of a compound to be recovered by changing the pH of the stream.

Claims 15-16, 39-40, 67, 82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cristovici in view of Coleman in view of Carignani in further view of Kuniyoshi (2001/0033823)

Referring to claims 1,15-16,39-40,67,82. Cristovici does not disclose processing the fourth portion of material using a belt filtering press. Kuniyoshi discloses a process comprising the steps of using a belt filtering press to treat the slurry and separate into a solid and liquid portion (See Sect. 0094). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the process of Cristovici to include a belt filtering press to filter the fourth portion of material so that all the liquid could be removed from the slurry and separated out the remaining solids. Additionally, it should be noted that in view of Coleman it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the fourth processed material as a fuel product. The fourth processed material would have been used as a fuel product because it would be the resultant product after multiple screening steps and the subsequent belt filtering press, which would leave a finely screened slag product.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrell H. Matthews whose telephone number is (571)272-5929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

THM

KATHY MATECKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600